Effective Photodegradation of Methyl Orange Using Fluidized Bed Reactor Loaded with Cross-Linked Chitosan Embedded Nano-CdS Photocatalyst
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Chitosan-based photocatalyst composites containing CdS nanocrystals with and without glutaraldehyde or epichlorohydrin cross-linking treatments were investigated and the catalyzed photodegradation of methyl orange in aqueous solution was examined. In addition, the effects of catalyst dosage, initial dye concentration, and initial pH of the dye solution on the photodegradation kinetics were investigated. In this study, the effect of initial solution pH was more important than other factors. The photocatalyst composite could remove 99% dye in 80 minutes at pH 4. The catalyst composite was characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), and visible reflectance spectroscopy. The dye removal mechanism of methyl orange involved an initial sorption process followed by photodegradation. The sorption process underwent the pseudo-second order kinetics, while photodegradation followed the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetics. Although the glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan enhanced the initial dye sorption, the epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst composite demonstrated a better overall dye removal performance, especially in the photodegradation step. Both chitosan encapsulated catalyst with and without epichlorohydrin cross-linking demonstrated the same pseudo-first order photodegradation kinetic constant of 0.026 min\(^{-1}\) and the same dye removal capacity. The catalyst composite could be reused but the photocatalytic activity dropped successively in each cycle.

1. Introduction

The textile industry is a major source of textile wastewater pollution [1]. Wastewater from textile plants often contains pollutants with intense color and requires treatment before discharge. These pollutants are potential threats to the environment, and new technology to remove dyes from wastewater is highly desired [2]. Due to the high variability of the compositions of textile wastewater and the increasing stability of dye stuff against biodegradation, most conventional physicochemical methods such as flocculation, adsorption, and biological treatment are relatively ineffective for the removal of dye stuff [3, 4]. Moreover, biodegradation requires nutrients [5, 6] and the resulting products could be more toxic than the original contaminants [7]. Recently, much attention has been paid to catalyzed photodegradation that makes use of semiconductor photocatalysts, where photoexcitation of semiconductor generates holes and electrons that may act as oxidizing agent and reducing agent and trigger various degradation reactions of dyes [4, 8–10]. Most researchers are concerned about the strength of the semiconductor catalysts, which are usually produced in the form of nanosized particles. However, posttreatment separation of nanocatalysts is difficult with high costs and time consuming [11–18] and discourages their recovery. Moreover, nanocatalysts are prone to aggregate and reduce catalytic efficiency as the aggregation impedes the transfer of light-generated charge carriers to surface and increase the probability of recombination [3, 13, 15, 18–20]. Furthermore, some semiconductors, such as CuO [20] and CdS [14, 21], are vulnerable to photocorrosion and...
would release heavy metals that are secondary pollutants. Alginate [11, 22], cellulose acetate [12], chitosan [3, 4, 19, 23–26], and poly(diallyl dimethylammonium) chloride [21] were reported examples of immobilization materials for stabilizing the photocatalysts and improving their practical usability as well as their recollection and reusability after wastewater treatment [25, 27, 28]. In order to achieve high removal efficiency, the immobilization materials should allow adsorption and penetration of contaminants for photodegradation to happen. The chitosan can bring more dye molecules into close proximity via adsorption and the catalytic sites of the semiconductor react with them [25]. The adsorbed dyes can function as photosensitizers and the excited dye molecules inject high energy electron into conduction band of semiconductor and this phenomenon provided an alternative route for degradation [4]. Although chitosan is a common water-soluble biopolymer, it is fragile and modification is usually required to improve its mechanical strength for application. In particular, chemical cross-linking, such as glutaraldehyde [3, 26, 28, 29], epichlorohydrin [16, 27], and tripolyphosphate [27], were reported to improve strength and for the immobilization of photocatalysts.

In this work, chitosan with immobilized CdS nanoparticles were prepared and the effects of degradation of the methyl orange (Figure 1) under various experimental conditions were investigated. The cross-linked and noncross-linked chitosan matrices were studied. Other factors such as pH effect and initial dye concentration would also be investigated and the reusability of the photocatalyst was examined.

As fluidized bed reactor allows excellent contact between catalysts and reactants as well as good heat and mass transfer [30–32], a fluidized bed photoreactor with an immersed lamp tube was adopted in this work.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials. All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. The purchased commercially available chitosan was originated from shrimp shells. Cadmium nitrate (Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O) and thiourea ((NH$_2$)$_2$CS) were used as the precursors for the preparation of CdS. 50% (w/w) glutaraldehyde in water (purchased from Acros) and epichlorohydrin were used as the cross-linking agents. Methyl orange (MO) was obtained as commercial products from International Laboratory USA and selected as the dye for our photochemical degradation studies without further purification. Milli-Q water was used for solution preparation and AR grade sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and nitric acid (HNO$_3$) were employed for pH adjustment.

2.2. Preparation of Various Chitosan Based Catalyst Composites. The chitosan-based catalyst composites were prepared according to Zhu and coworkers [3, 28, 29]. The stock chitosan solution (2% w/w) was prepared by dissolving commercially available chitosan into 1% w/w HNO$_3$, and the solution was centrifuged to remove nondissolvable matter. 100 mL of the stock chitosan solution was mixed with 3.07 g of Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O solution (62% w/w) overnight using a magnetic stirrer. Then, 300 mL of DDI water was added before the dropwise addition of 60 mL of 1 M NaOH. Further stirring and sonication allowed solidification of all chitosan. The liquor was then discarded and the chitosan was homogenized into smaller pieces. Milli-Q water was added and the pH of the resultant mixture was adjusted to 10 by adding 10% w/w HNO$_3$ solution under magnetic stirring. The mixture was heated to 60°C, and 10 mL of the thiourea solution (40 mg/mL) was added dropwise within 45 minutes. 0.3 M NaOH was added to keep the reaction at pH 10. After the addition of thiourea solution, the solution was stirred at 60°C for another 1 h. The resultant solid was washed with Milli-Q water and then methanol twice before oven-drying at 50°C. In this study, the effect of using various cross-linking agents was also investigated using glutaraldehyde or epichlorohydrin. Cross-linked catalyst composites were prepared using a procedure similar to that described by Wan Ngah et al. [33]. For the preparation of glutaraldehyde cross-linked composite, 1.50 g of composite was stirred in 120 mL Milli-Q water. Then, 1.0 mL 50% w/w glutaraldehyde was added and the pH of the solution mixture was adjusted to 8.0 by using 0.3 M NaOH. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. For the preparation of epichlorohydrin cross-linked composite, 3.00 g of composite in 300 mL of water at 50°C and the solution pH was adjusted to 10.5 by adding 0.3 M NaOH before 1.0 mL epichlorohydrin was added. The reaction mixture was then further stirred for another 3 h. The resultant cross-linked catalyst composites were then washed with Milli-Q water and methanol twice before drying in an oven at 50°C.

2.3. Characterization of Catalyst Composites. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were taken to characterize crystal structures inside the samples on a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer. The Bragg-Brentano focusing mode was used. Measurement was performed with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) in the range of 2θ = 10–80°. The scanning speed, tube voltage, and anode current were 5'/min, 45 kV, and 200 mA, respectively. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained by using a JEOL JEM-2100 transmission electron microscope at acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the samples was carried out with 2–4 mg of samples on a PerkinElmer TGA7 thermogravimetric analyzer performed under nitrogen atmosphere from 30 to 780°C with a heating rate of 5°C/min. The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra, with wave number between 4000 and 450 cm$^{-1}$, were recorded using the KBr disk method on a PerkinElmer Spectrum100 FTIR spectrometer. Visible reflectance spectra were obtained using a Konica Minolta CM-3500d spectrophotometer.
2.4. Adsorption and Photodegradation of Dye. Adsorption experiments were carried out before the photodegradation experiments. 0.3 g photocatalyst was stirred and mixed with 280 mL dye solution at a concentration of 10 ppm unless otherwise specified. The adsorption kinetics were investigated by taking 2.0 mL of the sample solution at regular time intervals (0, 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 60 minutes) and monitored for 1 hour. In this study, pseudo-second order adsorption model was employed for studying color removal via adsorption of selected dyes. The linearized form of the kinetic model [34] (see (1)) is presented as follows:

\[
\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_e},
\]

Adsorption capacity \( (Q_t) \) can be determined from the concentration of dye solution by the expression

\[
Q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m},
\]

where \( Q_t \) = adsorption capacity at time = \( t \); \( Q_e \) = equilibrium adsorption capacity; \( C_0 \) = initial dye concentration; \( C_t \) = dye concentration at time = \( t \); \( V \) = volume of dye solution; \( m \) = mass of adsorbent; \( k_2 \) = pseudo-second order adsorption rate constant; and \( t \) = time for adsorption.

In order to study the photocatalytic degradation of MO, the mixture was transferred to the fluidized bed photoreactor [30] with a maximum volume of 350 mL (Figure 2). It consists of an immersed lamp tube with a 150 W UV blocked (broadband emission mainly at 400–600 nm with UV leakage < 26 mW) metal halide lamp (OSRAM POWERSTAR HQI-TS 150 W/D), and a reaction vessel with an inverted conical bottom welded to a glass tube for air supply. Air supply could also function as agitation for the reaction. Before photodegradation, air was purged for 1 h without light illumination to allow adsorption equilibrium. The photodegradation reaction was conducted at 25°C using an ice water bath for cooling purpose. The photodegradation kinetics were investigated by taking 2.0 mL of the sample solution at appropriate time intervals (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes unless otherwise specified). The obtained samples were centrifuged to remove any suspended photocatalyst and then analyzed by a PerkinElmer Lambda 25 UV-visible absorption spectrometer, and spectra with wavelength ranged from 210 to 700 nm were recorded and absorbance at 464 nm was monitored as a basis for determination of the MO concentration at various time intervals. The aqueous concentration of MO was monitored as described above where adsorption and photodegradation kinetics for catalyst composites with or without cross-linking with glutaraldehyde or epichlorohydrin were investigated. The effect of catalyst dosage, initial solution pH, and initial dye concentration for the catalyst composite could be studied via the continuous monitoring of the dye concentration.

Pseudo-first order [3, 4, 9, 25, 26, 35–38] (commonly known as Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic model [12]) kinetic model was employed for studying color removal via photodegradation of the selected dyes. The model equation (3) is presented as follows:

\[
\ln \frac{C_0}{C_t} = k_{1p}t,
\]

where \( C_t \) is the concentration of dye at reaction time = \( t \), \( C_0 \) is the original concentration of dye at time = 0, and \( k_{1p} \) is the pseudo-first order kinetic constant.

In order to ensure the color removal in the photodegradation step instead of adsorption, the amount of residual dye that had been adsorbed onto the photocatalyst composite after photodegradation was determined by (1) soaking the used photocatalysts into 40 mL 0.5 M NaOH solution and (2) measuring the concentration of dye extracted from the used photocatalysts. The residual dye present in the photocatalysts could be determined by analyzing the dye concentration of
the resultant NaOH solution using a UV-visible absorption spectrometry, and the percentage of total residual dye is estimated with

\[ \frac{V_{\text{des}}C_{\text{des}} + V_{\text{g}}C_{\text{g}}}{V_{\text{g}}C_0} \times 100\%, \]

where \( C_0 \) = initial dye concentration; \( C_{\text{g}} \) = dye concentration after photodegradation; \( C_{\text{des}} \) is dye concentration after desorption; \( V_0 \) = initial volume of dye solution; \( V_{\text{des}} \) = volume of dye solution after photodegradation; and \( V_{\text{g}} \) = volume of aqueous NaOH used for the dye desorption.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. XRD and TEM. Soltani and coworkers [39] reported the XRD patterns of cubic and hexagonal CdS nanocrystals. For the cubic nanocrystals, peaks could be observed at 2\( \theta \) values of 26.4°, 43.8°, 51.9°, and 71.2°, which corresponded to the (111), (220), (311), and (331) crystalline planes of the face centered cubic structure, respectively. For the hexagonal structure, peaks at 2\( \theta \) values of 24.8°, 26.5°, 28.2°, 36.6°, 43.7°, 47.8°, 51.8°, 67.1°, and 75.9°, which matched perfectly with the (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (112), (203), and (204) crystalline planes, respectively, were observed. Figure 3 shows the XRD analysis for the CdS crystal structures of the composite, and the result was similar to that reported by Zhu et al. [3]. Peaks were observed in the XRD pattern at 2\( \theta \) values of 25.3°, 26.8°, 28.0°, 43.9°, 48.0°, 52.2°, 67.0°, and 74.8°. It is interesting to find out that the XRD pattern possesses identical peak positions but it has a different pattern of intensity than that of the hexagonal CdS structure as reported by Reyes and Velumani [40] as well as standard CdS (ICSD #: 067776). A similar XRD pattern was reported by Maier et al. [41] and they reported that this observation was due to a mixture of crystals of the both forms. Overlapping the peaks with Miller indices of (111), (220), and (311) of cubic CdS as well as the peaks with Miller indices of (002), (110), and (112) of hexagonal CdS results in the XRD pattern shown. The peak at 2\( \theta \) = 19.8° might be the crystalline peak of chitosan [42], and the broadness of XRD peaks indicated the existence of nanocrystals. The average size of the crystalline structures of CdS inside the composite was calculated by analyzing the XRD data of the peak at 2\( \theta \) = 43.9° with the Scherrer’s formula [41], and the size of the nanocrystal was calculated to be 46.0 Å.

Figure 4(a) is a TEM image that shows the catalyst composite particles containing CdS nanoparticles, the dark grains encapsulated in relatively transparent chitosan. Figure 4(b) is the observation under higher power magnification, with the crystalline CdS nanoparticles encapsulated in the amorphous chitosan. The size of the CdS nanocrystal grains observed are comparable with the average size determined by XRD data using Scherrer’s formula (4.6 nm). Extensive aggregation of CdS nanocrystals is observed in the TEM images (Figure 4(c)).

3.2. TGA. Thermal stability of the catalyst composite was assessed by thermal gravimetric analysis (Figure 5). The TGA curves showed 3 sections, 30–280°C, 280–400°C, and 400–780°C. The first section showed about 12% of weight loss, which should be the result of removal of adsorbed and bound water. The section 280–400°C demonstrated rapid weight loss and a specific decomposition reaction should be involved. The last section 400–780°C showed a relatively slow weight loss, which should be caused by some nonspecific decomposition reactions of the organic residuals.

3.3. FT-IR. Figure 6 shows the FT-IR spectra of (a) chitosan, (b) CdS-loaded chitosan without cross-linking, (c) epichlorohydrin cross-linked chitosan/catalyst composite, and (d) glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan/catalyst composite. The major difference between the pure chitosan and the CdS-loaded chitosan was the shift of stretching vibration, which was at 3420 cm⁻¹ for pure chitosan and 3397–3379 cm⁻¹ after the introduction of CdS. In comparison with the non-cross-linked composite (b), the peak associated with –NH₂ bending, which was originally located at 1647 cm⁻¹, shifted slightly to 1654 cm⁻¹, indicating that the –NH₂ might change to Schiff base (C=N) [43, 44]. The absorption band around 1562 cm⁻¹ enhanced and formed a shoulder for glutaraldehyde cross-linked composite, which agreed with the observation by Monteiro and Airoldi [44]. This observation may be related to the self-reaction of glutaraldehyde to form unsaturated polyaldehydes via aldol condensation [45]. Hu and coworkers [46] also suggested that the observation might be due to the possibility that chitosan cross-linked by the unsaturated polyaldehydes. Another evidence shows that the cross-linking reaction can be reviewed by the weakened absorption peaks at 1420 and 1320 cm⁻¹, where are the –NH deformation vibration and –CN stretching vibration of free intact chitosan. Ngah and Fatinathan [47] suggested that the consumed amino groups after cross-linking reaction is a good explanation for two weakened infrared absorptions of chitosan. Further evidence shows that a small protrusion was found on the IR transmittance spectrum at 1105 cm⁻¹ after the cross-linking step, and Beppu et al. [48] suggested that this might be due to the decrease in the number of aliphatic amino groups. The FTIR spectrum of the epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst composite was identical to the noncross-linked one. Epichlorohydrin cross-linking consumed part of the –OH groups on chitosan back bone and formed new C–O, –OH groups and methylene groups and this change is not easily differentiated in the spectrum.
3.4. Visible Reflectance. Band gap of semiconductor can be determined by measuring reflectance between 400 nm and 700 nm using the Tauc relation [49, 50]. The band gap of certain semiconductor provides information on crystal size as increasing blue shift of absorption edge is observed with decreasing crystal size, caused by quantum confinement effect. According to Kumar and coworkers [49], the relation between optical absorption coefficient, wavelength of light, and band gap ($E_g$) is as follows:

$$\alpha h\nu = A(h\nu - E_g)^{1/2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\alpha$ = absorption coefficient, $h\nu$ = light energy, $A$ is a constant that different for different transitions, and $E_g$ = band gap of material.

The Tauc plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ against $h\nu$ yields a line, where the x-intercept of the straight line extrapolation gives the band
gap energy ($h\nu$). For opaque materials, it is difficult to measure the absorption coefficient and a mathematical model (see (6)) was used for the calculation of band gap energy [49, 50]. Consider the following:

$$\left\{ h\nu \ln \left[ \frac{R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{min}}}{R - R_{\text{min}}} \right] \right\}^2 \text{ versus } 2h\nu, \quad (6)$$

where $R_{\text{max}}$ and $R_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and minimum values of reflectance, respectively, and $R$ is the reflectance at a given photon energy $h\nu$.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) are the percentage of reflectance against wavelength plot and the corresponding Tauc plot, respectively. The determined $E_g$ of the composite is 2.40 ev. This value indicated that the band gap CdS inside the composite is identical to that of bulk CdS material [51].

3.5. Study of the Mechanism of Dye Degradation Using UV-Visible Absorption Spectroscopy. The UV-visible spectra may provide information on the structural changes of dyes during the experiments. The whole process involved (i) an initial adsorption step with light off, (ii) a one-hour air purging step, and (iii) light on for photodegradation (Figure 8(d)). The air purging step is to ensure that the oxygen is not limited in the photodegradation step. Figures 8(a) and 8(d) depict changes in the UV-visible absorption spectrum and concentration changes throughout the adsorption and photodegradation steps. The characteristic of UV-visible absorption spectrum of MO solution is the 2 characteristic peaks; the main peak with maximum located at 464 nm that demonstrates color is arisen from whole chromophore with an azo group and another peak in the UV region with maximum located at 271 nm arisen from “benzene rings” structures of MO molecules [3]. During adsorption and air purging, both absorption peaks decreased with the same relative rate. After adsorption, for an hour, the MO concentration reduced to 70% of original value. The initial adsorption kinetics could be determined from the absorption peaks, and the kinetic data fitted the pseudo-second order rate law (Figure 8(b)).

For the following photodegradation process, the two characteristic spectral absorption peaks (464 nm and 271 nm) no longer decreased with the same relative rate (Figure 8(c)) but with $k_{lp}$ of 0.026 and 0.0063 min$^{-1}$, respectively. The kinetic data implied that the catalytic photodegradation process was more efficient in breaking the azo bond than the aromatic rings. It is also interesting to observe that the peak originally located at 464 nm shifted towards 380 nm and the peak originally located at 271 nm shifted towards a lower wavelength throughout the photodegradation step. The shift of the peak originally within visible region would be caused by periphery group modifications of the dye [36] while that of the peak originally located at 271 nm would be caused by both destruction of the aromatic rings and overlapping with the growing absorption edge, located at wavelength <240 nm. The growth of the absorption edge was likely to be caused by simple fragments resulting from photodegradation. The system without photocatalyst (blank) showed no dye photolysis after 75 minutes of illumination (Figure 8(d)).

3.6. Effect of Cross-Linking of Catalyst Composite on Dye Removal. Researchers always crosslink chitosan to improve its mechanical strength, heat resistance [26], and chemical stability [16]. Natural chitosan dissolves readily in acidic pH, and cross-linking could help its application at low pH. Usually, cross-linking reactions are targeting the amino or hydroxyl groups of chitosan molecules. As reported, epichlorohydrin could cross-link with the hydroxyl groups while glutaraldehyde and tripolyphosphate could cross-link the amino groups [43, 44, 52]. Jawad and Nawi [16] reported that cross-linking by epichlorohydrin improved the catalytic activity of the TiO$_2$/chitosan film to result in a faster photodegradation of phenol; phenol removal can be improved from 58% to 95% within 2h, and the cross-linkage could enhance the chemical stability of the film against photodegradation. In contrast, Li et al. [27] found that degradation of methyl orange using TiO$_2$ immobilized in tripolyphosphate cross-linked chitosan is much lower than that of its non-cross-linked counterpart. Effect of cross-linking on dye removal was also analyzed in this work by comparing adsorption-photodegradation characteristics of
noncross-linked and cross-linked catalyst composites. The CdS catalyst immobilized in chitosan was cross-linked using two cross-linking agents glutaraldehyde and epichlorohydrin while the noncross-linked chitosan immobilized catalyst (NC) was used as control. We found out that the glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan (GC) caused observable changes on both dye adsorption and photodegradation while the epichlorohydrin cross-linked chitosan (EC) showed similar removal efficiency of adsorption and photodegradation as compared with the noncross-linked control (NC) (Figure 9). The glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan (GC) remarkably enhanced the adsorption rate and capacity, implying that the amino groups on chitosan might be mainly responsible for dye adsorption and converting it into a Schiff base might alter and enhance the adsorption process [53]. A Schiff base would be more attractive than the original amino groups for these dye molecules bearing sulfonic acid groups. It is interesting to observe that the glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan embedded catalyst showed higher initial sorption than other samples. However, the final dye decolorization by the glutaraldehyde cross-linked composite was slightly inferior to the noncross-linked or epichlorohydrin cross-linked
composite. For photodegradation using the glutaraldehyde cross-linked composite (GC), some adsorbed dye molecules were desorbed at the initial period of illumination, a phenomenon which might be due to the photodesorption of dye [54–58]. The pseudo-second order kinetic and the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic models are commonly employed for comparing the adsorption and photodegradation kinetics using photocatalysts. In this work, we adopted these 2 models to compare the effectiveness of the catalysts, and the reaction kinetics can be expressed using kinetic plots for comparison. High correlation coefficients with $R^2 > 0.998$ were generally observed (Figure 9). It is interesting to point out that photodegradation using the glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan catalyst (GC) could not fit the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic model ($R^2 = 0.65$), and the reason might be accounted by the significant desorption at the initial period of illumination. The epichlorohydrin cross-linked chitosan catalyst (EC) showed similar rate constants of adsorption (0.07 g/mg min.) and photodegradation (0.026 min$^{-1}$) to the noncross-linked control (NC). Chitosan encapsulated CdS catalyst with and without epichlorohydrin cross-linking demonstrated the same final dye removal of 90%. The glutaraldehyde cross-linking method enhanced adsorption and 80% of dye adsorbed in 1 hour while both the chitosan

Figure 9: (a) Methyl orange adsorption-photodegradation curves (abbreviations: NC, EC, and GC) denote noncross-linked, epichlorohydrin cross-linked and glutaraldehyde, cross-linked chitosan catalyst composites, respectively. (b) The corresponding linearized plots of pseudo-second order adsorption kinetics. (c) The corresponding linearized plots of pseudo-first order photodegradation kinetics.
encapsulated CdS catalyst with and that without epichlorohydrin cross-linking could only adsorb 30% of the dye. However, the glutaraldehyde cross-linking method did not show any improvement in the photodegradation efficiency with the final dye removal of 89% and photodecolorization profile was distorted by photodesorption at the initial stage of the reaction. As we found out that glutaraldehyde cross-linked catalyst composite (GC) did not perform well as compared with epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst (EC), the remaining study would use the epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst composite only.

3.7. Effect of pH on Dye Removal. Solution pH affects the performance of photocatalysts significantly \[3, 9, 13, 18, 20, 28, 30, 37, 59–68\], and the dye removal efficiency of the epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst composite at the initial pH values of 4, 6, 8, and 10 was analyzed (Figure 10). The results showed that higher sorption rates and capacities occurred at lower solution pH. As the point of zero charge of chitosan (around pH 8), the amino groups would be protonated at a lower pH \[69\] while the sulfonic acid groups of methyl orange (MO) would remain ionized at the tested pH values. The strong electrostatic attraction
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Figure 10: (a) Methyl orange adsorption-photodegradation by epichlorohydrin cross-linked catalyst composite at different initial solution pH; (b) the corresponding linearized plots of pseudo-second order adsorption kinetics; and (c) the corresponding linearized plots of pseudo-first order photodegradation kinetics.
between anionic MO molecules and cationic protonated amino groups of chitosan enhanced the adsorption at low pH [13, 20, 25]. Figure 11 shows that photodegradation of MO which occurred in all tested pH values. The MO adsorption at pH 4 was very high and the photodegradation at this pH was masked and hardly observed. For pH 6 and 8, photodegradation removal of over 80% with 75 minutes of illumination except pH 10 with photodegradation removal of over 50% only. The adsorption at pH 6, 8, and 10 followed the pseudo-second order kinetics well (Figure 10(b)) with high correlation coefficients ($R^2 > 0.996$) and the rate determining step of adsorption seemed to be chemical adsorption in nature [70]. Figure 10(c) shows that the photodegradation process at pH 6, 8, and 10 followed the common Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetics. At pH 4, the high adsorption precluded the quantization of the dye photodegradation and the solution was too low for an accurate determination. In general, our experimental results agreed with Zhu et al’s work [3]. In order to ensure the dye was photodegraded with the illumination instead of adsorption, the dye desorption was carried out after the experiment. Figure 11 depicts that the percentage of total residual dye after desorption was similar to the residual dye after the dye removal process (which included both the adsorption and photodegradation processes). The adsorption step could bring the dye molecules into close proximity to the catalytic sites of the semiconductor to react instantly with active species generated by the photocatalyst [20, 25]. Additionally, at pH below 4.4, the MO molecules exist in quinonoid form and the degradation rate could be further increased without the stable azo bond [13].

3.8. Dye Concentration on Dye Removal. Both the adsorption and photodegradation processes were found to be dye concentration-dependent [3, 8, 26, 28, 37, 59, 65]. Hence, experiments were carried out with different initial solution concentrations (5 to 20 ppm) (Figure 12(a)). Both the adsorption rate and capacity increased with initial dye concentration. Figure 12(b) indicates that the adsorption processes followed the pseudo-second kinetics order with high correlation coefficient ($R^2 > 0.9991$) regardless of the initial dye concentration.

For photodegradation, a higher initial dye concentration would result in lower dye removal efficiency. The determined photodegradation kinetic data (Figure 12(c)) followed the pseudo-first order rate law and was comparable to that reported by Zhu et al. [3]. They reported that the rate constants for initial dye concentrations of 10 and 20 ppm were 0.029 min$^{-1}$ and 0.011 min$^{-1}$, respectively, which are close to our results of 0.026 min$^{-1}$ and 0.012 min$^{-1}$, respectively. They proposed that the decreasing $k_{1p}$ with the increasing dye concentration might be due to the high dye concentration which reduced the optical path length for light to reach the photocatalyst. Additionally, the increase in dye concentration will enhance the dye molecules adsorption on photocatalyst surface and prevent the adsorption of OH$^-$ and O$_2$ for photodegradation. In general, we found out that the initial reaction rate increases with increasing dye concentration at relatively low solution concentration. This might be due to the reaction frequency between the active sites and the dye molecules could increase with dye concentration until an optimal value had been reached, and the photon absorption by dye molecule becomes critical at evaluated dye concentration [28]. The increased adsorbed interfering degradation intermediates [67] adsorbed onto active sites and reduced the adsorption of OH$^-$ and O$_2$ essential for photodegradation.

3.9. Effect of Catalyst Dosage on Dye Removal. Photocatalyst dosage is one of the factors that determines the photodegradation kinetics [9, 37, 59, 65], and experiments were carried out in three dosages (1.2, 2.0, and 2.8 g/L) (Figure 13). The results showed that both adsorption capacity and photodegradation rate increased remarkably with catalyst dosage. Increasing the catalyst dosage from 1.2 to 2.8 g/ would increase the dye removal efficiency from 90% to 99% (Figure 13(a)). The adsorption process followed the pseudo-second order kinetics with increasing adsorption kinetic constant ($k_2$) from 0.07 to 0.18 (Figure 13(b)) while the photodegradation kinetics followed the pseudo-first order kinetics with $k_{1p}$ increasing from 0.026 to 0.06 (Figure 13(c)). It is obvious that the increase in catalyst dosage would increase the availability of active sites and more illuminated area for more dye molecules to be adsorbed and photodegraded [9, 28, 37, 65]. Thus, the rate of photodegradation increased with the catalyst dosage.

3.10. Reusability of Catalyst Composites. Figure 14 shows the study of reusability of the catalyst composite. To evaluate changes in the efficiency and kinetic constants of reused photocatalyst, 2.8 g/L of the catalyst was tested for 3 cycles. There were marginal drops in both the adsorption rate constant ($k_2$) and equilibrium capacity ($Q_e$) for the 3 cycles. The kinetic rate constant of photodegradation ($k_{1p}$) declined from 0.06 (1st cycle) to 0.03 min$^{-1}$ (2nd cycle) and 0.023 min$^{-1}$ (3rd cycle). The dye decolorization was mildly decreasing from 99% (1st cycle) to 94% in the 2nd cycle and 89% in the 3rd cycle. Generally, the catalyst remained sufficiently efficient after repeated use. The loss of activity of the photocatalyst
might be due to the adsorption of insensitive species on the photocatalyst surface [65] and the supporting matrix [26]. Our observation was in good agreement with the report by Zhu et al. [3, 28, 29], who used a similar photocatalyst for the removal of Congo red, CI acid red 66, and methyl orange.

4. Conclusion

Chitosan encapsulated CdS catalyst was prepared and the catalyst showed effective degradation of methyl orange. The UV-visible absorption spectra indicated that the catalytic photodegradation process was more efficient for chromophores than for aromatic moiety of the dye molecules. The mechanism of dye degradation consists of physical adsorption, followed by a photochemical oxidation process. The adsorption process followed the pseudo-second order adsorption kinetics, while the photodegradation step followed the pseudo-first order kinetics. The effect of dosage, initial dye concentration, pH, and cross-linking agents on dye removal and catalyst composite reusability were investigated. The results showed that the catalyst performed well at acidic pH (99% removal at pH 4) but
poorly at alkaline pH (54% removal at pH 10). Increasing the catalyst dosage could improve the removal efficiency, while increasing the initial dye concentration from 5 to 20 ppm could decrease the dye removal efficiency with the kinetic rate constant \( k_{1p} \) decreasing from 0.55 min\(^{-1}\) to 0.12 min\(^{-1}\). The chitosan encapsulated CdS catalyst with and without epichlorohydrin cross-linking demonstrated the same \( k_{1p} \) of 0.026 min\(^{-1}\) and the same final dye removal of 90%. Epichlorohydrin cross-linking caused almost no changes in dye removal characteristics. On the other hand, the glutaraldehyde cross-linking method enhanced adsorption but reduced photodegradation efficiency slightly. It is worth it to notice that the catalyst composite could be reused but the photocatalytic activity dropped successively in each cycle.

**Highlights**

Efficient decolorization of methyl orange solution by visible light induced photocatalysis using chitosan immobilized nanosize CdS. Dye removal using fluidized bed photoreactor loaded with cross-linked chitosan immobilized nanophotocatalyst. Cross-linked chitosan can help the recycle of the photocatalyst.
Figure 14: Multicycle MO adsorption-photodegradation curves of experiments with various dosages.
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