Analysis of chicken fat as adulterant in butter using fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics
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1. INTRODUCTION

Food adulteration is an addition process of substances which are injurious to health, or by the removal of substances which are nutritious. The driving force behind this process is profit maximization which can be achieved using low-cost substances to partially or wholly substitute with the more expensive ones (Arvaniyoyannis and Tzourous, 2005). The mixing of animal fats with food products is a major concern to certain groups of consumers due to religious obligations and health complications. From religious perspectives, the source of fat that acts as adulterant is a serious issue of concern. In Islamic and Kosher dietary laws, foods containing porcine based substances are strictly forbidden, while in Hinduism, the consumption of beef fats in food is prohibited (Eliasi and Dweyer, 2002, Marikkrar et al., 2005).

Butter is undoubtedly one of the most complex of all edible fats with more than 500 different fatty acids. It is mainly comprised of saturated fatty acids (SFA), followed by monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), and small amounts of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). It has more than 1300 individual triacylglycerols (TAG) (Barron et al., 1990). Commercial butter must have at least 80-82% pure milk fat, water, and sometimes salt. Milk or cream should be the primary product. Butter is the foremost lipid product of animal
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agriculture in terms of organoleptic qualities, market price, and wide spread use in edible applications. In the U.S., the annual production of butter is slightly above 1 billion pounds (454 million kilograms, 498 million liters) (US Department of Agriculture, 2005). Due to the price difference and the similar properties, the adulteration of butter with animal fat continues to be a risk for consumers in developing countries.

Several methods have been developed for the detection and quantification of adulterants in butter. Numerous authors (De peters 1993, Carisano and Riva 1976, Coleman 1961; Mattson and Luton 1958; Mattson 1963; Jensen et al., 1964) have reported small amounts of beef tallow incorporated into butter by evaluating the fatty acid composition of the monoglycerides acquired by enzymatic hydrolysis. The addition of beef tallow in butter has been reported by Solimen and Yoones (1986) by determining the cholesterol esters and diglycerides. Currently, Precht (1991) and Mariani et al., (1990) have reported the triglyceride composition of various butter samples. The detection of 1-3% vegetable and 3-5% animal fats can be made using statistical parameters. All these methods are only applied to the natural components of fats. However, butter can also contain substances deriving from the refining processes (Lanzón et al., 1989). Therefore, the development of a rapid, accurate, inexpensive analytical technique which is capable of detecting such adulteration in butter is pertinent and highly demanded.

Nowadays, the application of Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy has emerged, mainly in food studies and has predominantly become a useful analytical tool in the study of edible fats and oils (Guillén and Cabo, 2000). FTIR spectroscopy has received great attention in the quantitative analysis of fats and oils over the years, due to the main advantage of easy sample preparation with reduced or no-sample pre-treatment steps (Sherazi et al., 2007, Baeten and Dardenne, 2002). It is used for the high-throughput analysis of milk-based food components that rapidly allows real-time measurements at all stages of production without requiring special skills from users. This technique can be easily applied in fundamental research, control laboratories and industrial settings (Karoui and De Baerdemaeker, 2007, Subramaniam and Rodriguez-Saona, 2010). There have been several studies concerning the classification, characterization, and authentication studies of edible fats and oils using IR spectroscopy (Dobson, 2001).

In combination with prevalent chemometric techniques, FTIR spectroscopy methods have been emphasized for the quantitative analysis of various food products such as lard in cake formulation (Syahariza et al., 2005), biscuits (Che Man et al., 2011), cocoa butter (Che Man et al., 2011) and mixtures of lards with other animal fats (Che Man and Mirghani, 2001). These techniques are also proven to assess the overall levels of butterfat and butyric acids as an indirect indicator of adulteration (Heussen et al., 2007). The adulteration of butter fat with foreign fat could be detected by observing the FTIR spectra at the specific wavelength due to the ratios of cis-unsaturations of fatty acid moieties as reported by Sato et al., (1990). However, there is no information available related to the use of FTIR spectroscopy coupled with chemometrics for the analysis of butter adulterated with chicken fat. Therefore, in this study, we proposed FTIR spectroscopy combined with multivariate analysis for the detection and quantification of chicken fat using partial least square (PLS).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample preparation

Lard, beef, mutton and chicken were obtained through the rendering process of the adipose tissues of the corresponding animals. The rendering processed was carried out according to Rohman and Che Man (2009a). Butter samples were extracted according to the AOAC official method 920.118 (2000). The extracted samples were kept in glass vials under refrigerated conditions (−20°C) until used for analysis. Infrared spectra were collected for each sample to develop a classification model.

2.2. Calibration and Validation

The calibration samples, comprising of a number of standard or training sets consisting of chicken fat (CF) in butter at concentration ranges of 0-100% v/v, were prepared. For validation, a series of independent samples was built to evaluate the predictive ability of the developed calibration model. The spectra of pure butter and CF as well as their mixtures were analyzed using FTIR spectroscopy. The wavelength regions where the variations were observed were chosen for developing the PLS model in order to quantify CF in butter.

2.3. FTIR instrumental analysis

The FTIR spectra of samples (either pure or admixtures) were measured using ABB 3000 FTIR spectrometer (Canada) equipped with a deuterated triglycine sulphate (DTGS) detector and KBr/Germanium as beam splitter. The instrument was connected to the Horizon MB software. The sampling compartment was attenuated total reflectance, producing 12 internal reflections with a penetration depth (infrared beam) of 2.0 μm, composed of zinc selenide (ZnSe) crystals with a refractive index of 2.4 at 1000 cm⁻¹. FTIR spectra were collected at the mid-infrared region (4000-650 cm⁻¹), using 32 scans at a resolution of 8 cm⁻¹. These spectra were subtracted against the background of air spectrum. After every scan, a background of new reference air spectrum was taken. These spectra were recorded as absorbance values at each data point in triplicate.
2.4. Statistical and chemometrics analysis

The Chemometric analysis of PLS was done using the software Horizon MB (Canada). The leave one out cross validation procedure was used to verify the calibration model. The calibration of performance of PLS was assessed using the values of root mean standard error of calibration (RMSEC) and coefficient of determination ($R^2$). In addition, $R^2$ and root mean standard error of prediction (RMSECV) were used for the evaluation of the validation capacity of PLS.

2.5. Fatty acid analysis

The fatty acid (FA) compositions of butter and other animal fats were determined using a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan), equipped with flame ionization detector. The oven temperature was programmed as follows: the initial temperature was 100°C (held for 1 min), then ramped up to 180°C (8°C min$^{-1}$), increased from 180 to 240°C (10°C min$^{-1}$), and finally held at 240°C for 5 min. The temperatures of detector and injector were maintained at 240°C during the analysis. The flow rate of carrier gas (helium) was 6.8 mL min$^{-1}$. Before analysis, the samples were treated with sodium methoxide to form FAMEs according to the method described by Chin et al., (2009). The column, oven and other conditions used during FA analysis are similar to those reported by Rohman and Che Man (2009). The qualitative analysis of FAMES in samples was carried out by comparing retention times of the peaks with those of FAMES standards. The quantification of FAs was performed using the technique of internal normalization and expressed as percentages based on peak areas.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyses focused on the measurement of the FTIR spectra of butter and chicken fat in the 4000-650 cm$^{-1}$ spectral region. The characteristic infrared spectra of butter and CF are shown in Figure 1. The absorption bands of water, corresponding to the O–H groups, were observed in the region of 1600-1500 cm$^{-1}$, which can affect the amide I signal at about 1650 cm$^{-1}$ (Karoui and De Baerdemaker, 2007; Rodriguez et al., 2006). In agreement with Koca et al., (2010), strong absorptions were observed at 2900 and 2800 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, corresponding to C–H (CH$_3$ and CH$_2$) stretching vibrations. Moreover, a weak signal at 3000 cm$^{-1}$ associated with C=C–H stretching groups of cis-unsaturation was observed. At 1745 cm$^{-1}$, another strong band was present, which is reported to be associated with −C=O stretching vibrations of acids and esters (Lema García et al., 2010). This band and the next at 1460 cm$^{-1}$ arising from N–H bending vibration are most likely associated with the amide I and amide II of proteins (Karoui and De Baerdemaker, 2007; Rodriguez et al., 2006).

In the last part of the spectra (1300-1000 cm$^{-1}$), stretching vibrations of the C=O bond of esters and bending vibrations of a methylene group were present (Lema García et al., 2010). The band at 966 cm$^{-1}$, associated with −HC=CH out-of-plane deformation vibrations, has been previously reported as a marker band for the determination of trans-fats.

Butter contains more saturated fatty acids than those in CF (Table 1), especially myristic acid (C14:0), as determined using gas chromatography. Meanwhile, CF has more unsaturated fatty acids, especially linoleic acid (C18:2), compared with butter. The presence of unsaturated fatty acids in CF can also be observed in its FTIR spectrum at
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Table 1
Fatty acid compositions of butter and three animal fats (BF, CF, and MF) determined using GC with flame ionization detector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FA</th>
<th>Butter</th>
<th>Chicken Fat (CF)</th>
<th>Beef Fat (BF)</th>
<th>Mutton Fat (MF)</th>
<th>Lard (LD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4:0</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6:0</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8:0</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10:0</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12:0</td>
<td>9.79 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14:0</td>
<td>14.79 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.00</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15:0</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:0</td>
<td>31.29 ± 0.05</td>
<td>25.74 ± 0.00</td>
<td>26.91 ± 0.03</td>
<td>33.77 ± 0.23</td>
<td>23.37 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:0</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:1</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:0</td>
<td>11.62 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.68</td>
<td>34.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>47.05 ± 0.39</td>
<td>13.26 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1</td>
<td>22.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>44.69 ± 5.43</td>
<td>30.94 ± 0.04</td>
<td>7.78 ± 0.02</td>
<td>40.15 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:2</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.00</td>
<td>20.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.29</td>
<td>17.29 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:3</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FA = fatty acid; *Each value in the table represents the means of triplicate analysis.

3006 cm⁻¹ which indicates the higher amount of unsaturated fatty acids. This method was developed for PLS analysis which relies on the exploitation of these small changes in the regions of interest, namely at frequencies of 1200-1000 cm⁻¹.

Taking into account the difference between the butter and CF spectra, it is obvious that peak intensities at 1200-1000 cm⁻¹ are different. Therefore, these frequencies were selected to be optimized for the analysis of CF in butter, because FTIR spectra variation was observed between CF and butter.

3.1 Quantification of chicken fat in butter

Absorbencies of CF with concentrations ranging from 0%-100% in butter were recorded as a calibration model. Partial Least Square (PLS) was used for making a relationship between actual and predicted values of CF (%v/v) in butter. Frequencies at selected fingerprint (1200-1000cm⁻¹) were exploited for quantitative analysis. The relationship between actual value (x-axis) and the FTIR predicted value of CF in the PLS calibration model is shown in Figure 2. A good

Y = 0.97144147x + 1.60100132
R² = 0.981

Figure 2
Partial least square (PLS) calibration model for the relationship between actual and FTIR predicted values (%v/v) of chicken fat as adulterant in butter.
linear regression $y = 0.971x + 1.601$; was obtained with $R^2$ and RMSEC values at 0.981 and 2.08% v/v, respectively. $R^2$ values defined the relationship between the actual and predicted value of the analyte of interest (CF). This means that the nearer the $R^2$ value is to unity, the better the relationship. Meanwhile, RMSEC refers to the root mean error square calibration uncertainty. The smaller the RMSEC value, the better the calibration model.

The goodness of a calibration can be summarized by two values: the percent of variance explained by the model and the Root Mean Square Error in Calibration (RMSEC). The former, being a “normalized” value, gives a first idea about how much of the variance of the data set is “captured” by the model; the latter, being an absolute value to be interpreted the same way as a standard deviation is, giving information about the magnitude of error (Leardi, 2002).

The main problem in PLS algorithm is over fitting, which means that the PLS model produces a good model in the calibration dataset, but the model will not perform well in validation datasets using similar samples. In order to evaluate the over-fitting, a procedure of cross validation using the leave-one-out technique was used (Wang et al., 2006). The PLS calibration model was further subjected to cross validation using the “leave one out” technique. For the validation procedure, other samples prepared in the laboratory were used to minimize the validation error and to provide an estimate of the overall accuracy of validations. The root mean square error of cross validation (RMSECV) obtained was 4.33% v/v.

The confirmation and validation of the analysis region used for developing the PLS model were performed by computing the predicted residual error sum of squares (PRESS) values for different factors or principal components (PCs). The PRESS is a value direct measure on how well a calibration can predict the concentration left out during a cross validation (Smith, 2002). PRESS informed that the optimal factor number is 6, as revealed in Figure 3, which illustrates how the RMSEC obtain a stable value, minimally after six factors. This confirms that the spectral region used for developing the PLS model for the quantification of CF exhibits significant correlation with its concentration. From residual analysis as shown in Figure 4, it can be stated that errors occurring during analysis are random.
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**Figure 3**
Number of factor for modeling PLS calibration.

![Figure 4](image.png)

**Figure 4**
The residual analysis for the relationship between actual and FTIR predicted values of chicken fat as adulterant in butter.
CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that FTIR spectroscopy in combination with chemometrics can be used to detect and quantify the adulteration of butter and CF. The level of adulterants was successfully determined with the aid of a PLS calibration model. PLS can be successfully used to quantify the level of CF adulterant at the selected fingerprint region of (1200-1000 cm⁻¹).
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